Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	nan/	10/045,585	UANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Cephia D. Toomer	1714	
All Participants:		Status of Applicatio	n: <u>ABN</u>	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Edward Hejlek</u> .	÷	(4)		
Date of Interview: 2 May 2005		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .	☐ Applic	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:	•			
Prior art documents discussed:		•	• .	
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Mr. Hejlek indicated that the application is abandoned		ERAL NATURE OF WHA	r was discussed:	
Post III				
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second of the provide as a second of the pro	conorato	record of the substance	of the interview since the intervi	
directly resulted in the allowance of the applic				
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief				ew
		., .,		
			•	
			•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	